





A survey of the research challenges and training needs in text analysis for political science research

# A survey of the research challenges and training needs in text analysis for political science research

21 November 2022

### 1. Citation

Scotto di Vettimo, M., Haraldsson, A., Gelovani, S., Banducci, S., Kalsnes, B., Koc-Michalska, K., and Theocharis, Y. (2022). A survey of the research challenges and training needs in text analysis for political science research. [Data collection]. DOI: 10.24378/exe.4344

### 2. Description

This online survey assessed the research challenges, training needs and preferences of researchers and practitioners (of all seniority and skills level) that use or are interested in using text analysis techniques in their research. The survey has been conducted within the context of the Horizon 2020 OPTED project (<a href="http://www.opted.eu">http://www.opted.eu</a>), of which all the authors are part. The survey has been approved by the Research Ethics and Governance Office of the University of Exeter (REF: 509745).

### 2.1. Population and sample recruitment

The target population represents the user community that the OPTED project aims to serve. More specifically, we identified as the primary target group academic researchers and scholars that work in the realm of text analysis. However, a second important target group of OPTED are academic researchers with an interest in text-as-data approaches but limited knowledge or resources to invest in such methodologies. Therefore, we wanted to assess the research challenges and training needs of a very heterogeneous research community that comprises PhD students, early- and mid-career researchers as well as senior researchers. Also, we sought to reach researchers that are interested in text analysis, though have not used it already in their research.

To recruit our respondents, we employed a three-pronged approach relying on: (i) lists of contact details gathered by other work packages of the OPTED network for research purposes; (ii) lists of contacts and mailing lists of individual members of the OPTED network; and (iii) mailing lists of organisers of events related to text analysis.

First, other OPTED work packages had previously collected contact details of scholars and practitioners working on text analysis. The specific inclusion criteria varied from one work package to another. For instance, WP6 targeted authors who published any studies using quantitative text-based research over the past five years in top journals in political science, communication, sociology, and psychology, whereas WP2 collected email addresses of authors studying citizen-produced political texts. These populations are contained within our primary target group and represent a key part of the wider user community.

Secondly, to avoid the oversampling of scholars researching on specific types of political texts, we also asked all OPTED members to disseminate the survey to all the list of contacts that they had in their possession. In some cases, this means to reach out to mailing lists

constructed for research purposes other than OPTED, or list of participants to classes, workshops or other events organised by the member of the OPTED network, or simply colleagues potentially interested in text analysis.

Thirdly, to reach a second important target group – researchers that have not already employed text analytical techniques, but that are nevertheless interested in these approaches – we decided to contact organisers of the main events related to text analysis held in Europe from 2018 up to March 2022 (e.g., method schools, conferences, or workshops), and to ask them to share the survey with all the event participants. Additionally, we also asked members of the steering committees of some ECPR Standing Groups to circulate the survey to the group members. Section 3 provides the list of events and ECPR groups contacted. By contacting training events and workshops, we also make sure that we reach more junior researchers like PhD students, who may not be included in other lists created on the basis of academic publications.

#### 2.2. Dimensions of training needs analysed

The survey included seven sections (see also questionnaire in Section 4). We focused on different dimensions of training and research needs. The first section contained one question to understand what types of political texts the respondents used in their research. Respondents that do not use and do not even plan to use any textual data are the brought to the end of the survey. The second section consists of four questions tapping into the type of text analysis methodology and software used (or planned to use). Based on whether they use computation or non-computational methodologies, respondents are then prompted with more targeted questions about specific tools used and challenges faced when doing text analysis. A third and fourth section (three and two questions, respectively) asks questions about data access and publishing and gaps in database improvements. The fifth section contains two questions about challenges related to multilingual text analysis research. The sixth section consists of fifteen questions about existing and future training opportunities, channels used to acquire new skills and participation in and evaluation of existing training events. Finally, the last section asks five questions related to the demographic characteristics. Although most of these questions had predetermined answer options, from a best practices point of view we also included qualitative questions to allow participants to express needs and preferences that were not covered in the set survey options, so as to capture more nuanced feedback and comments.

#### 2.3. Dissemination

The survey was programmed on Qualtrics, and the dissemination of the questionnaire started on February 23, 2022, when we asked OPTED members and event organisers to forward the survey to their lists of contacts. We sent out a reminder 14 days later and we also shared the survey on social media various times.

# 3. List of text analysis events and ECPR Standing Groups contacted

# A. Text analysis events

- COMPTEXT Conference (2018, 2019, 2020, 2022)
- Computational Text Analysis Workshop Florence (2020)
- ECPR Summer School Text analysis courses (2018, 2019, 2020, 2021)
- ECPR Winter School Text analysis courses (2018, 2019, 2020, 2021)
- Essex Summer School Quantitative text analysis course (2020, 2021)
- Quantitative Text Analysis Workshop Dublin (2019)

### B. ECPR Standing Groups

- Elites and Political Leadership
- European Union
- Interest Groups
- Internet and Politics
- Laws and Courts
- Parliaments
- Participation and Mobilization
- Political Communication
- Political Methodology
- Political Networks
- Political Parties
- Political Representation
- Politics and Technology
- Regulatory Governance

#### 4. Questionnaire

Dear Colleague,

This survey is part of the European Union funded research project OPTED: "Observatory for Political Texts in European Democracies: A European research infrastructure" (Horizon 2020 Grant 951832).

This survey is aimed towards social scientists who use text analysis methods in their research. It takes about 15 minutes to complete. You will be asked about your experience with using text analysis methods and, in particular, about your experience with existing resources and materials, and preferences for additional training resources. The purpose of the survey is to learn more about the challenges of conducting text analysis research. The outcomes of this survey will be used to create actionable insights to advance the state of text analysis in the social sciences to the benefit of the social science community.

Before you start the study, it is important that you are aware of the voluntary nature of the survey and how we protect your privacy. All the data is anonymous and will be treated in an aggregated manner. Please read information available at this <u>link</u> and do not hesitate to ask for clarification if you have questions (see contact details below).

By clicking on "I consent", you confirm the following: I am 18 years or older. I have read and understood the information for participants. I agree to participate in the research and to the use of data obtained from it. I reserve the right to revoke this consent without giving any reason. I reserve the right to discontinue the research at any time.

#### Thank you for your participation in this study!

For questions please contact: Prof. Susan Banducci at the University of Exeter (<u>s.a.banducci@exeter.ac.uk</u>) or Prof. Karolina Koc-Michalska at the Audencia Business School (<u>kkocmichalska@audencia.com</u>).

#### consent

If you do not consent to	participate in the study	, you can now end	your participation	by clicking on	"I prefer not
to participate".					

r	
$\bigcirc$	I consent
$\circ$	I prefer not to participate
nd of Bloc	k: Informed Consent

Start of Block: Uses text data or not

### q1

First, we would like to learn about your use of textual data in your research, as well as the methods that you use to study text.

What sorts of text do you use, plan to use or wish to use in your research? Please consider any uses of textual data in your research, even if this may not be your primary research focus.

	Don't use and no plans to use	Don't use but would maybe use in future	Currently using or used in the past	Don't know
legislative, bureaucratic and government organizations (e.g., parliamentary speeches, bills, laws)	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
political organizations such as political parties (e.g., manifestos, speeches, press releases)	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
individual politicians (e.g., speeches, interviews, social media posts)	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
journalists and/or published by mass media outlets (e.g., news articles, op-eds)	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
non-media commercial organizations such as enterprises, lobby groups (e.g., reports, campaign materials, press releases)	0	0	0	$\circ$
non-governmental organisations and social movements (e.g., forums, pamphlets, campaign materials)	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
individual citizens (e.g., tweets, Facebook posts, blog posts, petitions)	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Other (please specify):				

End of Block: Uses text data or not

**Start of Block: Methods & Texts** 

 ${f q2}$  To what extent do you use or wish to use the following text analysis methods in your own research?

	I don't use it	I would like to use it in the future	I only use it while collaborating with others	I rarely use it	I regularly use it
Qualitative text analysis (e.g., discourse analysis, conversation analysis)	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Quantitative manual text analysis (e.g., manual content analysis)	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	0	$\circ$
Computational text analysis (e.g., automated content analysis, machine learning, text mining)	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$

# q2\_open

If you use other methods than those mentioned above, please specify:

 ${f q3}$ To what extent do you use or wish to use the following kinds of software and tools in your own research on text?

	I don't use it and don't plan to use it in the future	I currently don't use it, but would like to use in the future	I only use it while collaborating with others	I rarely use it	I regularly use it	I primarily use it
Statistical software (e.g., SPSS, Stata)	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$
Mathematical software (e.g., Matlab, Octave)	$\circ$	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Network analysis software (e.g., Gephi, Pajek, UCInet)	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0
Qualitative data analysis software (e.g., MaxQDA, Atlas.ti, Nvivo)	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	0	$\circ$
Specialized text mining software (e.g., LIWC, SentiStrength, Wordscore)	$\circ$	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Generalized text analysis platforms (e.g., AmCAT)	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Open-source coding platforms (e.g., Python, R, Julia)	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Hosting and version control services (e.g., GitHub)	0	0	0	0	0	0

**q4**Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statements, relating to reliability testing (such as, e.g., inter-coder reliability tests).

	Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Somewhat agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
It is important to conduct a reliability test					
	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$
Conducting reliability tests is difficult					
	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$	$\circ$
It is at times unclear what reliability test should be					
used	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$
I always report reliability in papers based on text data					
	$\circ$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$
Academic journals require reliability tests for the type of text analysis I conduct	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$

**End of Block: Methods & Texts** 

**Start of Block: IF Computational** 

comp1
Which kinds of computational tools do you use to study text?

	I'm not familiar with	I know, but did not apply	I have applied
Text statistics (e.g., word frequencies)	$\circ$	0	0
Automated extraction (e.g., link/hashtag extraction, concordances, keywords-in-context)	0	0	0
Dictionaries/keyword searches	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Natural language processing tools (e.g., Part-of-Speech taggers, dependency parsers)	0	0	0
Sentiment scoring	$\circ$	$\circ$	0
Semantic network tools	$\circ$	$\circ$	0
Topic models/text clustering tools	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Word embeddings			
Text similarity scoring (e.g., plagiarism software)	0	O	
	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Document scoring (e.g., Wordfish, Wordscore, Wordshoal)	$\circ$	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$
Supervised machine learning			
Machine translation	$\cup$	O	
	0	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$

# comp1\_open

I use other computational tools (please specify):

In your experience, what challenges did you encounter (or you think you might encounter in the future) when using computational methods for text analysis?

	not a challenge	a minor challenge	a major challenge
Time/effort required (e.g., technical requirements, experience)			
	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Funding required (e.g., for training, fees, licenses)			
	$\circ$	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$
Availability of required training			
	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Training is available but you have no capacity to engage			
Limited mathedelesical evidence/decommentation of tools			
Limited methodological guidance/documentation of tools		$\bigcirc$	
Infrastructure is not available (e.g., archives, computers with access to			
specialist software, etc.)		$\circ$	
Availability of suitable computational tools for specific measurement			
purposes		$\bigcirc$	
Availability or comparability of suitable computational tools for the			
language(s) that I study		$\bigcirc$	
Issues concerning measurement validity/limited nuance			
	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$
Skepticism (of myself or others) toward computational methods			
	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$

# comp3\_open

Other challenges (please specify):

Γο what extenpply)	ent was the required time and effort challenging because of the following? (Please tick all that
	Childcare/other caring commitments
	Other personal commitments
	High commitment to research work
	High teaching load
	Other professional commitments
	Other, please specify:
	None of the above

Please indicate your agreement with the following statements:

	Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat agree	Strongly agree
I can easily discuss problems related to computational text analysis with colleagues from my department	0	0	0	0	0
I easily solve most problems related to resources for computational text analysis simply by consulting the documentation made available with the relevant resource	$\circ$	0	0	0	0
I rely on public platforms (e.g., Stack Overflow) to discuss problems related to computational text analysis methods and resources	0	0	0	0	0
Sometimes it is hard to find a user community with which I can discuss problems related to computational methods	0	0	0	0	0
It is necessary to have computational text analysis skills in order to be competitive on the academic job market	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	0

How important would the following be for your research activities?

	Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important
A single platform for text analysis tools and resources	$\circ$	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$
Access to text analysis tools for programming	0	$\circ$	0	0	$\circ$

**End of Block: IF Computational** 

**Start of Block: IF Not Computational** 

# nocomp1

What challenges were relevant (or might be relevant in the future) for your choice to not use computational methods for text analysis?

	not a challenge	a minor challenge	a major challenge
Time/effort required (e.g., technical requirements, experience)			
	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$
Funding required (e.g., for training, fees, licenses)			
	$\bigcirc$	0	0
Availability of required training	$\circ$	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$
Training is available but I have no capacity to engage			
Limited methodological guidance/documentation of tools			
		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Infrastructure is not available (e.g., archives, computers with access to specialist software, etc.)	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Availability of suitable computational tools for specific measurement purposes	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Availability or comparability of suitable computational tools for the language(s) that I study	$\circ$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Issues concerning measurement validity/limited nuance			
	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Reviewers'/editors' skepticism toward computational methods		$\circ$	$\circ$
Skepticism (of myself or others) toward computational methods	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$

# nocomp1\_open

Other challenges (please specify):

Display This Question: If nocomp1 = 1 [2] Or nocomp1 = 1 [3]

ocomp2
To what extent was the required time and effort challenging because of the following? (please tick all that pply)
Childcare/other caring commitments
Other personal commitments
High commitment to research work
High teaching load
Other professional commitments
Other, please specify:
None of the above
and of Block: IF Not Computational

Start of Block: Access & Publishing

q5

In your experience, which were challenges that you encountered relating to accessing text data?

	Not applicable	Not a challenge	A minor challenge	A major challenge
Restricted access to data by companies owning or storing the data (e.g., Twitter, Facebook, CrowdTangle, Crimson Hexagon/Brandwatch)	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	0
Restricted access to data due to content removal (e.g., online content that is flagged and removed by moderators)	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	$\circ$
Difficulty finding or identifying all relevant text data (e.g., because words that are spelled correctly by users are not collected)	0	0	0	$\circ$
Difficulty identifying or using the tools needed to access data	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$
National ethical research rules or guidelines do not enable accessing certain text	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$

# q5\_open

Other challenges (please specify):

# **q6**

Please indicate how much you agree with the following statements, relating to data privacy, confidentiality, and consent.

	Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Somewhat agree	Strongly agree
I am aware of the best practices for ensuring confidentiality of those whose text data I collect/analyse	0	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$	$\circ$
I have received sufficient training in the legal and ethical guidelines for data protection and privacy of those whose data I collect/analyse	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
It has not always been possible in my research to ask for consent to participate, even if gaining consent may have been best practice.	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0

**End of Block: Access & Publishing** 

# q16

In order to undertake political text analysis, researchers need access to textual data (e.g., national legislation, news stories). Thinking about the future, how important are the following improvements to these types of databases for researchers?

	Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important
Expanding the geographical coverage of existing datasets	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Expanding the temporal coverage of existing datasets	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\bigcirc$
Develop new datasets	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Facilitating the linkage of existing datasets	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Develop public web portals	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Develop procedures for local installations of datasets	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\bigcirc$
Develop API-web services	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
q16_open					

Please provide more details as to what sort of database development is a priority, or suggest other types of database developments to prioritise:	
End of Block: Databases	

Start of Block: Languages

# $\mathbf{q7}$

In which language(s) are those texts that you study (or wish to study)? (please tick all that apply) [Follows boxes with languages]

**End of Block: Languages** 

**Start of Block: IF Multiple Languages** 

lang1

To what extent were the following statements reasons for you to conduct textual analysis in multiple languages? These are languages...

	Not at all a reason	Minor reason	Major reason
that I speak well myself	0	$\circ$	$\circ$
that are spoken in a single country that I study (e.g., Switzerland, India)			
that are particularly relevant for my research			
in which it is possible/easy to access textual material	0	0	$\circ$
for which it is possible/easy to find qualified collaborators/essistants	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$	$\circ$
for which it is possible/easy to find qualified collaborators/ assistants	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
for which it is possible/easy to find suitable tools	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
for which the quality of machine translation suffices	$\circ$		
for which it is possible/easy to conduct a comparative analysis			

**End of Block: IF Multiple Languages** 

**Start of Block: Training** 

<b>q8</b>	
How did you apply):	acquire the skills necessary to utilise text analysis methodologies in your research? (Tick all that
	Self-led online material
	From examples and posts found online
	Free community-led online workshops
	Conference workshops and tutorials
	Peers and colleagues
	Undergraduate/master course
	Postgraduate training as part of my PhD
	Third-party training course
	Other (please specify):
<b>q9</b>	
Have you ev	er attended any event (e.g., summer school, workshop, seminars, etc.) related to text analysis?
$\bigcirc$	Yes
$\circ$	No
q10	
How likely a	are you to attend a training event related to text analysis in the next 2 years?
$\bigcirc$	Not likely at all
$\bigcirc$	Somewhat likely
$\bigcirc$	Very likely
	Don't know

<b>q11</b> What is the	type of event you would most like to attend in the next two years?
$\bigcirc$	Offline/in-person
$\bigcirc$	Online with mostly synchronous sessions
$\bigcirc$	Online with pre-recorded training materials (e.g., available to watch on demand)
$\bigcirc$	Hybrid (both online and in-person)
$\circ$	Other (please specify):

q12								
If you were to attend a text analysis training session, please tell us whom you would like the most to deliver the training								
O Academics	Academics from my field who are also using text analysis techniques							
O Academics	Academics expert in text analysis techniques, but who do not necessarily operate in my field							
O Non-acade	mic experts and practitione	ers (e.g., comi	ng from the indu	stry)				
q13								
	ed in the training or supervolervision, specialized traini		scientists? (e.g.	, as part of a c	legree program,			
O Yes								
O No								
Display This Question: Ij	fa13 = 1							
q13a								
	ne context of your training a in relation to text analysis			al scientists, the	here are			
	Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important			
Data and open access to	ools							
	$\circ$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$			
Programming and softw	vare skills							
	O	$\circ$	$\bigcirc$	O	$\bigcirc$			
Theory and concepts	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$			
Research integrity, ethic	cs	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$			
Display This Question: In	f a13 = 1							
Display This Question: If	-q13 - 1							

# q13a\_open

Other training needs (please specify):

_	sor of researchers who need to learn text analysis, who do you think is suitable to lead the training hese researchers? (Skip if it does not apply to you)
$\circ$	Academics from my field who are also using text analysis techniques
$\bigcirc$	Academics expert in text analysis techniques, but who do not necessarily operate in my field
$\bigcirc$	Non-academic experts and practitioners (e.g., coming from the industry)
q15	
Would you be	e interested in receiving (further) training in computational text analysis methods?
$\circ$	Yes
$\circ$	No, my skills are sufficient
$\bigcirc$	No, I do not plan to use computational text analysis anytime soon
0	No, for other reasons (please specify):
Diamlan This	Overview If al5 - 1
Dispidy This	Question: If $q15 = 1$
q15a	
On what leve	el would you need to receive (further) training?
	On an introductory level
	On an intermediate level
	On an advanced level
End of Block	x: Training

# train1

What kind of	events did you attend? (Select all that apply).
	Method school exclusively focused on text analysis methods
	Method school where some courses covered text analysis methods
	Conference exclusively focused on text analysis methods
	Conference where some sessions/panels were dedicated to text analysis methods
	Seminar or workshop organised by another university
	Seminar or workshop organised at my university
	Training event organised by another university
	Training event organised by my university

train2Overall, how would you rate the events you attended with regard to the following:

	Not helpful at all	Somewhat unhelpful	Neither helpful nor unhelpful	Somewhat helpful	Very helpful
Learning new software, resources and techniques	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Improving your training in software, resources and techniques you already know	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Getting to know a community of scholars working with similar methodologies	0	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Receiving feedback on your ongoing research	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$

#### train3

Thinking about the training events you participated in, what do you think was the key strength which should definitely be kept so as to ensure that they benefit users like you?

#### train4

Thinking about the training events you participated in, what do you think was the key issue which should be addressed so as to make them more helpful for users like you?

**End of Block: IF Training events** 

**Start of Block: OPTED proto-type** 

# q17

The OPTED network is currently working on the proto-type of a platform for text analysis resources for the academic and the non-academic community interested in political texts (you can find more information at:

opted.eu). We highly value any user input on this proto-type, as it will allow us to better meet the needs of the user community we aim to serve.

Which aspects do you think deserve to be prioritised to best satisfy the users' community needs, including your own?

	Not a priority at all	Definitely a priority	Definitely a priority <b>and</b> I would make use of it
An open repository for different types of <b>data sources and relevant documentation</b>		$\circ$	$\circ$
An open repository for different types of <b>training materials</b>	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
An open repository for different types of <b>tools</b> , <b>software and packages</b>	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
A platform where users can find <b>recommendations for relevant resources</b> for their research	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
A platform users can <b>contribute to with their own resources</b> (e.g., new databases, software or packages)	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
A platform with tools and standards for the <b>validation</b> of computational methods	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
A platform that can be used to work <b>collaboratively on the discovery, creation and sharing of text analysis resources</b> (e.g., codes or data)	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
A platform that can <b>host discussions</b> about text analysis resources	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
A platform for where users can <b>access training materials</b> (e.g., videos, slides, Shiny apps)	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
A platform that can be used to "re-appraise" existing resources, highlight and solve issues (e.g., bugs) and track updates	0	0	0

# q18

What do you think are the key features the platform should possess in order to satisfy the user communineeds?	ty

**End of Block: OPTED proto-type** 

**Start of Block: Demographics** 

field	
What is your	main area of research or study? (Please tick all that apply)
	Communications
	Economics
	Political Science
	Psychology
	Sociology
	Other:
country	
In what cour	ntry is the university or employer with which you are affiliated?
▼ Afghanist	tan (1) Zimbabwe (1357)
rank	
I am	
$\circ$	a PhD student
$\bigcirc$	an early-career researcher (<5 years since PhD)
$\bigcirc$	a mid-career researcher (5-15 years since PhD)
$\bigcirc$	a senior researcher (>15 years since PhD)
$\bigcirc$	Other (please specify):

<b>gender</b> I identify as	
$\bigcirc$	a man
$\bigcirc$	a woman
$\bigcirc$	I do not identify as a man nor as a woman
$\bigcirc$	I prefer not to say
mailing_list	
-	ke to be contacted in the upcoming weeks with more information about the OPTED platform, so as dated on its development and to have the possibility to try and comment on its proto-type?
$\bigcirc$	Yes, I would like to be added to the OPTED mailing list
$\bigcirc$	No, I do not want to be added to the OPTED mailing list

**End of Block: Demographics**